## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

| UNITED STATES   | *  |
|---|--|
|   | *  |
| v.  | * MAG. NO. 20-16220                                |
| JAMMAL RAMSON   | *  |
|   | *  |
|   | ****   |
| ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDE   | O CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING                    |
|   |  |
| In accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 43(b)(2   | ) and Standing Order 2020-06, this Court finds:    |
|   |  |
| That the Defendant (or the Juvenile)  | has consented to the use of video                  |
| teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct  | t the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation |
|   | tale proceeding(s) field today, after consultation |
| with counsel; and   |  |
| X That the proceeding(s) to be held too   | day cannot be further delayed without serious harm |
|   |  |
| to the interests of justice, for the following sp                                       | pecific reasons:                                   |
| <ol> <li>COVID pandemic, 2. Inability to cond</li> <li>Consent of defendant.</li> </ol> | duct in-person hearing for indefinite period, and  |
| 3. Consent of defendant.  |  |
|   |  |
| Accordingly the proceeding(s) hald and in   |  |
| Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this d   | ate may be conducted by:                           |
| X Video Teleconferencing  |  |
| Talance in the second   |  |
| Teleconferencing, because video tel   | leconferencing is not reasonably available for the |
| following reason:   |  |
|   |  |
| The Defendant (or the Juver   | nile) is detained at a facility lacking video      |
| teleconferencing capability.  |  |
|   |  |
| Other:  |  |
| Date: ///8/20   | Honorable Anthony R. Mautone                       |
|   | United States Magistrate Judge                     |